IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA AIKEN DIVISION

Christian Robert Sprinkle,)	
Plaintiff, v.)	Civil Action No. 1:11-cv-01321-JMC
)	ORDER
)	
Sergeant Robinson; Warden Mackey; and Assistant Warden Joiner,)	
Defendants.)	

This matter is before the court for review of the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation ("Report"), [Dkt. No. 60], filed on May 14, 2013, recommending that Plaintiff's Complaint [Dkt. No. 1] be dismissed with prejudice for failure to prosecute. Plaintiff brought this action seeking relief pursuant to Title 42 U.S.C. §1983. The Report sets forth in detail the relevant facts and legal standards on these matters which the court incorporates herein without a recitation.

The Magistrate Judge's Report is made in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and Local Civil Rule 73.02 for the District of South Carolina. The Magistrate Judge makes only a recommendation to this court. The recommendation has no presumptive weight. The responsibility to make a final determination remains with this court. *See Mathews v. Weber*, 423 U.S. 261, 270-71 (1976). The court is charged with making a *de novo* determination of those portions of the Report to which specific objections are made, and the court may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the Magistrate Judge's recommendation or recommit the matter with instructions. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

Plaintiff was advised of his right to file objections to the Report [Dkt. No. 60 at 3].

1:11-cv-01321-JMC Date Filed 06/07/13 Entry Number 64 Page 2 of 2

However, Plaintiff filed no objections to the Report.

In the absence of objections to the Magistrate Judge's Report, this court is not required to

provide an explanation for adopting the recommendation. See Camby v. Davis, 718 F.2d 198, 199

(4th Cir. 1983). Rather, "in the absence of a timely filed objection, a district court need not conduct

a de novo review, but instead must 'only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the

record in order to accept the recommendation." Diamond v. Colonial Life & Acc. Ins. Co., 416 F.3d

310, 315 (4th Cir. 2005) (quoting Fed. R. Civ. P. 72 advisory committee's note). Furthermore,

failure to file specific written objections to the Report results in a party's waiver of the right to

appeal from the judgment of the District Court based upon such recommendation. 28 U.S.C. §

636(b)(1); Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140 (1985); Wright v. Collins, 766 F.2d 841 (4th Cir. 1985);

United States v. Schronce, 727 F.2d 91 (4th Cir. 1984).

Therefore, after a thorough and careful review of the Magistrate Judge's Report and

Recommendation, and the record in this case, the court finds the Magistrate Judge's Report provides

an accurate summary of the facts and law in the instant case and the record in this case. The court

ACCEPTS the Report and Recommendation [Dkt. No. 60]. For the reasons articulated by the

Magistrate Judge, it is therefore **ORDERED** that Plaintiff's Complaint [Dkt. No. 1] is **DISMISSED**

with prejudice for failure to prosecute.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

J. Michalle Childs

United States District Judge

Greenville, South Carolina

June 7, 2013

2